

### REMARKS

Claims 1-12, 16-18 and 20-23 are now presented for examination, of which Claims 1, 8, 9, 16, 18 and 23 are independent claims. Claims 1, 5, 8, 9 and 23 have been amended to define still more clearly what Applicant regards as his invention.

Applicant notes with appreciation the allowance of Claims 16-18 and 20-22, and the indication that Claim 23 would be allowable if rewritten to correct a typographical error. That error has been corrected, and Claim 23 therefore is believed to be in condition for allowance.

Claims 1-12 were rejected solely under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite. Claims 1, 8 and 9, the rejected independent claims, have been amended by deleting the occurrence of the word “corrected” from the phrase that was indicated in the Office Action as being indefinite. Accordingly, it is believed that the rejection under Section 112 has been obviated, and its withdrawal is respectfully requested.

Since no other rejection was made of any of Claims 1-12, it is believed that those claims also are in condition for allowance.

In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, Applicant respectfully requests favorable reconsideration and allowance of the present application.

Applicant's undersigned attorney may be reached in our New York Office by telephone at (212) 218-2100. All correspondence should continue to be directed to our address listed below.

Respectfully submitted,

/Leonard P Diana/  
Leonard P. Diana  
Attorney for Applicant  
Registration No. 29,296

FITZPATRICK, CELLA, HARPER & SCINTO  
30 Rockefeller Plaza  
New York, New York 10112-3801  
Facsimile: (212) 218-2200

NY\_MAIN 617190v1